Hydrologic Processes in a Patterned Peatland: The role of patch anisotropy on discharge competence and hydroperiod in the Everglades

David Kaplan¹, Matthew Cohen¹, Danielle Watts¹, Jing Yuan¹, James Heffernan², James Jawitz³, Rajendra Paudel³

¹University of Florida, School of Forest Resources and Conservation ²Duke University, Nicholas School of the Environment ³University of Florida, Soil and Water Science Department

UF FIODIDA





Patterning/Pattern Loss in the Everglades

Historic Flow





Ridges and sloughs existed in an organized pattern, oriented parallel to the flow direction, on a slightly sloping peatland

Contemporary Flow





Compartmentalization and water management activities are resulting in a landscape that is more uniform \rightarrow detrimental ecological effects (*sct*, 2003).

Hypotheses for Landscape Formation and Degradation

- Sediment redistribution (Larsen et al., 2007; Larsen and Harvey, 2010, 2011)
- Subsurface nutrient redistribution (Ross et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2011)
- Coupled feedbacks between hydrology, vegetation, and carbon: The "Self-Organizing Canal" Hypothesis (Cohen et al., 2011)



Cheng et al., 2011

Self-Organization and Scale-Dependent Feedback



Rietkerk and van de Koppel, 2008

TRENDS in Ecology & Evolution

The "Self-Organizing Canal" (SOC) Hypothesis



Watts et al. 2010

The SOC Hypothesis – Linking Hydrology and the Carbon Budget







<u>Danielle Watts:</u> "Hydrologic Modification and Peat Dynamics in the Everglades Ridge-Slough Mosaic" (tropical@ufl.edu)

The SOC Hypothesis – Analytical Modeling



Discharge (Q)

<u>James Heffernan</u>: "Discharge Competence as a Mechanism for Peatland Pattern Formation" (james.heffernan@duke.edu)

The SOC Hypothesis – Pattern Metrics



<u>Jing Yuan</u>: "Analysis of Patch Geometry Characteristics in the Ridge-Slough Patterned Landscape in the Everglades" (<u>yj@ufl.edu</u>) – **Poster # 269, Session 2**

Point Scale: Carbon Balance

Landscape Scale: Discharge Competence





Cohen et al., 2011

Hydrological Modeling: Methods

<u>Approach</u>: 2-D finite-difference model (SWIFT2D; USGS, 2004) to model flow through ridge-slough landscapes.



Hydrological Modeling: Test Domains



Q (m³ s⁻¹)

Hydrological Modeling: Simulated Domains



Effect of Patch Anisotropy on Flooding Depth

High Anisotropy



Low Anisotropy





- Depth difference = f(flow)
- Will depth differences at <u>low flows</u> drive different flooding dynamics (hydroperiod)?



Effect of Patch Anisotropy on Hydroperiod

- Ridges in most anisotropic landscapes are dry ~4x as frequently as those in isotropic landscapes
- Variance in hydroperiod likely driven by "quality" of connectivity: presence, location, and geometry of slough connectivity (e.g., DCI; Larsen et al., 2012)





Effect of Patch Anisotropy on Hydroperiod



- Δ HP ranged from <u>0 to 140 days</u> in any particular year
- For e = 1, average $\Delta HP = 40$ days yr⁻¹ \rightarrow increase of 74% over BL
- For e = 2, average $\Delta HP = 20 \text{ days yr}^{-1} \rightarrow \text{increase of 37\% over BL}$

Effect of Patch Anisotropy on Hydroperiod

- Differences in hydroperiod driven by hydrologic variability
- Dry events vs. wet events as drivers of landscape formation (e.g., Bernhardt and Willard, 2009)



The SOC Hypothesis: Take-home Message

- Landscape geometry affects hydroperiod
 - Strong, anisotropic distal negative feedback → pattern geometry
- The SOC may be *sufficient* to explain the linear ridge-slough pattern emergence without sediment or nutrient redistribution









